



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/622,873	07/17/2003	Douglas William McIlwraith	CUL-0007	2753
7590	09/08/2004		EXAMINER	
Michael A. Cantor Cantor Colbourn LLP 55 Griffin Road South Bloomfield, CT 06002			WINNER, TONY H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3611	

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/622,873	MCLLWRAITH, DOUGLAS WILLIAM
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tony H. Winner	3611

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 July 2003.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1 and 4-8 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) 2,3,9 and 10 is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 July 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: _____.

Acknowledgment

1. Receipt of the preliminary amendment filed 7/14/03 has been acknowledged and entered.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 6 and 9 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 6 line 1, recitation "a said disk" is inappropriate.

Claim 9 line 3, the recitation "the tread section" lacks antecedent basis. Suggest replacing the word "the" with the letter – a --. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Thomas (U.S. patent 6,390,564 B1).

Thomas discloses a skid-steer vehicle comprising:

- a. at least a pair of wheels on each side thereof,
- b. a track mounted on each pair of wheels and further comprising a tread section of a tire, wherein
- c. the wheels include a hub having pneumatic tire thereon.

With regard to claim 4, Thomas is disclosed above meets all of the claimed limitations.

With regard to claim 5, Thomas is disclosed above further teaches a guide system comprising a plurality of disks (6), each of the disks being mounted upright on at least one side of a respective one of the wheels adjacent an associated edge of the track in use.

With regard to claims 6-8, Thomas discloses all of the claimed limitations.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 2 and 3 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 9-10 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the claim objection, set forth in this Office action. Claims 9-10 are allowed because the prior art neither shows nor teaches a skid-steer vehicle utilizing a track from a cut out sidewalls of a rubber tire and in combination with other elements recited.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Oberlander et al. (US. pub 2003/0038543 A1), Yovichin et al.

(US. 2003/0127911 A1), Lemke et al. (US. pub 2001/0040058 A1), Phely et al. (US. pub 2004/0017107 A1), Dow et al. ('909), Grawey et al. ('936), and Crabb ('176) are cited of interest.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Anthony H. Winner whose telephone number is (703) 306-5957. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 am to 6:30 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris, can be reached at (703) 308-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.



TONY WINNER
PATENT EXAMINER

September 1, 2004